

CAUSES AND EFFECTS OF ABUSES IN A
FEASIBILITY STUDY: A STUDY IN MALAYSIAN
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

FONG WOAN SHIUAN

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of Bachelor of
Project Management with Honors

Faculty of Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECEMBER 2013

ABSTRACT

Feasibility study is essential to be carried out at the early stage of project life cycle before entering into actual construction works. However, there are abuses of feasibility study occurred in construction industry in which this issue is seldom being given attention by the developers. Hence, there are four objectives in this research that aims to be achieved, which include to identify the causes of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry, to determine the effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry, to highlight the types of abuses occurred in feasibility study in construction industry, and to examine the relationship between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. The respondents of this research are developers who are the REHDA (Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association) members in Johor, Malaysia. The research data are collected by using postal questionnaires to 109 developers in Johor, Malaysia. Statistical analysis is used for data analysis in this research. For achieving first three research objectives, mean frequencies are used, whereas for achieving the fourth research objective, Pearson correlation is used. The results of data analysis showed that there are occurrences of abuses of feasibility study where types of abuses occurred in feasibility study, causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry are determined and ranked according to their mean frequencies. In addition, the findings of this research also showed that there are positive and significant relationships between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study. As conclusion, the developers and project team should aware of the issue of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. Besides that, the developers and project team should also alert to the causes of abuses of feasibility study in order to avoid from suffering of bearing the effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry.

ABSTRAK

Kajian kemungkinan adalah wajib untuk dilakukan sebelum satu pembinaan projek dimulakan. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat kes penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan di mana isu ini kurang diberi perhatian dan dibincangkan oleh pemaju pembinaan. Oleh itu, terdapat empat tujuan dalam perjalanan kajian penyelidikan ini di mana ia merangkumi untuk mengetahui sebab-sebab berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan, mengenal pasti kesan-kesan berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan, menentukan jenis-jenis penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan berlaku dalam industri pembinaan, dan menganalisis hubungan antara sebab-sebab dan kesan-kesan berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan. Responden-responden dalam kajian penyelidikan ini adalah pemaju pembinaan yang merupakan ahli-ahli institusi REHDA (Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association) di Johor, Malaysia. Data-data dikumpulkan melalui pengirisan kertas soal selidik kepada 109 pemaju pembinaan di Johor, Malaysia. Untuk menganalisis data, analisis statistik telah digunakan. Purata telah digunakan untuk mencapai tujuan pertama, tujuan kedua dan tujuan ketiga kajian penyelidikan ini. Selain itu, 'Pearson correlation' digunakan untuk mencapai tujuan keempat kajian penyelidikan, iaitu untuk menganalisis hubungan antara sebab-sebab dan kesan-kesan berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan. Mengikut keputusan hasilnya proses analisis, isu penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan memang ada berlaku, hal ini disebabkan oleh pelbagai sebab dan kesan berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan dikenal pasti dan disusun berdasarkan purata masing-masing. Selain itu, kajian penyelidikan ini juga mendapati sebab-sebab dan kesan-kesan berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan adalah saling berkaitan di mana hubungan ini adalah positif dan ketara antara satu sama lain. Secara keseluruhannya, pemaju pembinaan seharusnya memberi perhatian yang secukupnya terhadap isu ini di mana isu ini akan mendatangkan kesan-kesan negatif sekiranya sebab-sebab berlakunya penyalahgunaan kajian kemungkinan dalam industri pembinaan tidak diketahui.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION	ii
STUDENTS’S DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
 1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of Study	2
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Questions	4

1.6	Scope of Study	4
1.6.1	Respondents of the Research	5
1.6.2	Location of the Research	5
1.6.3	Instrument Used in the Research	5
1.7	Significance of Study	5
1.8	Operational Definition	6
1.9	Expected Result	8

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Definition of Feasibility Study	10
2.3	Importance of Feasibility Study	11
2.4	Components of Feasibility Study	13
2.4.1	Technical Aspect	13
2.4.2	Economic/Financial Aspect	14
2.4.3	Legal Aspect	15
2.4.4	Operational Aspect	15
2.4.5	Market Study	16
2.4.6	Scheduling Aspect	16
2.5	Abuses of Feasibility Study	17
2.6	Types of Abuses Occurred in Feasibility Study	18
2.6.1	Misleading Use of Feasibility Study	18

2.6.1.1	Do Not Carry Put Feasibility Study Properly	18
2.6.1.2	Deliberately Fraudulent Occurred in Feasibility Study	19
2.6.1.3	Do Not Follow Governmental Rules and Regulations	19
2.6.1.4	Ignoring Some Aspects of Contractual Requirement	20
2.6.2	Misunderstanding of Study Phases	21
2.6.2.1	Conceptual/Scoping Study (Phase 1)	23
2.6.2.2	Prefeasibility Study (Phase 2)	23
2.6.2.3	Full Feasibility Study (Phase 3)	24
2.6.3	Failure to Undertake Feasibility Study that is fit for Purpose	24
2.6.3.1	Misunderstanding of Objective of Feasibility Study	25
2.6.3.2	Failure to Achieve Minimum Standard of Feasibility Study	25
2.6.3.3	Inaccurately Measure the Potential of Project	27
2.6.3.4	Negligence in Identifying the Features of Project	28
2.7	Causes of Abuses of Feasibility Study	28
2.7.1	Planning and Estimation Factors	29
2.7.1.1	Poor Skills of Making Assumptions of Resources	29
2.7.1.2	Lack of Information of Market Demand	29
2.7.1.3	Poor Cost and Revenue Estimate	30
2.7.1.4	Poor Time Estimate	31
2.7.1.5	Poor Forecasting of Cash Flow	31
2.7.1.6	Lack of Land Information	32
2.7.2	Project External Issues	33
2.7.2.1	Lack of Sustainability Concern	33
2.7.2.2	Lack of Concern for Legal Issues	35
2.7.2.3	Lack of Concern for Community Stakeholders	36
2.7.3	Personnel Factors	37

2.7.3.1	Lack of Communication	37
2.7.3.2	Lack of Team Member to Carry Out Feasibility Study	37
2.7.3.3	Lack of Cooperation from Top Management	38
2.7.4	Developers' Attitude towards Preparation for Feasibility Study	39
2.7.4.1	Lack of Time to Carry Out Feasibility Study	39
2.7.4.2	Failure to Progress through the Study Phases	40
2.7.4.3	Failure to Plan for the Next Study Phases	41
2.7.4.4	Failure to Recycle through Study Phases	41
2.7.4.5	Failure to Fix Study Scope	42
2.8	Effects of Abuses of Feasibility Study	43
2.8.1	Cost-related Effects	43
2.8.1.1	Increased in Labor Cost	43
2.8.1.2	Increased in Material and Equipment Cost	44
2.8.1.3	Increased in Indirect Cost	44
2.8.1.4	Monetary Penalties	45
2.8.2	Time-related Effects	45
2.8.2.1	Project Delay	46
2.8.2.2	Delay in Feasibility Study	46
2.8.2.3	Procurement Delay	47
2.8.2.4	Delay in Payment for Contractor and Workers	47
2.8.3	Disputes	48
2.8.3.1	Conflict with Project Clients	48
2.8.3.2	Conflict with Community Stakeholders	49
2.8.3.3	Conflict with Contractors and Subcontractors	49
2.8.3.4	Conflict with Construction Workers	50
2.8.3.5	Conflict with Local and National Authorities	50

2.8.4	Client-related Effects	51
2.8.4.1	Make Wrong Investment Decision	51
2.8.4.2	Profit Loss	51
2.8.4.3	Pay Additional Cost for Changing Contract Requirement	52
2.8.4.4	Delay in Client Decision Making	52
2.8.5	Quality-related Effects	53
2.8.5.1	Poor Quality of Feasibility Study: Produce Poor Result	53
2.8.5.2	Low Quality of Project	54
2.8.5.3	Increase Project Risk	54
2.8.5.4	Affect Reputation of Performing Organization	55
2.8.6	Performance-related Effects	56
2.8.6.1	Poor Performance of Project	56
2.8.6.2	Project Failure: Uncompleted Building	57
2.8.6.3	Poor Performance of Workers	57
2.8.6.4	Low Sales of Constructed Building	58
2.9	Correlation Framework	58
2.10	Conclusion	60

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Research Design	61
3.2.1	Identification of the Research Field	62
3.2.2	Literature Review	62
3.2.3	Data Collection	63

3.2.4	Data Analysis	63
3.2.5	Preparation of Full Research Report	63
3.3	Research Method	66
3.4	Population and Sampling	66
3.5	Data Distribution and Collection Techniques	68
3.6	Development of Measure Design of Question	68
3.7	Statistical Techniques	70
3.8	Conclusion	72

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	74
4.2	Response Rate	75
4.3	Demographic Analysis	75
4.3.1	Gender of Respondents	77
4.3.2	Current Position of Respondents	79
4.3.3	Qualification of Respondents	80
4.3.4	Years of Experience of Respondents in Construction	82
4.3.5	Types of Project Implemented by Respondents	83
4.3.6	Person Involved in Preparation of Feasibility Study	85
4.4	Reliability Analysis	86
4.4.1	Types of Abuses Occurred in Feasibility Study	87
4.4.2	Causes of Abuses of Feasibility Study	88

4.4.3	Effects of Abuses of Feasibility Study	89
4.5	Normality Test	90
4.5.1	Types of Abuses Occurred in Feasibility Study	90
4.5.2	Causes of Abuses of Feasibility Study	91
4.5.3	Effects of Abuses of Feasibility Study	92
4.6	Types of Abuses Occurred in Feasibility Study	93
4.6.1	Misleading Use of Feasibility Study	93
4.6.2	Misunderstanding of Study Phases	95
4.6.3	Failure to Undertake Feasibility Study that is fit for Purpose	96
4.7	Causes of Abuses of Feasibility Study	98
4.7.1	Planning and Estimation Factors	98
4.7.2	Project External Issues	99
4.7.3	Personnel Factors	100
4.7.4	Developers' Attitude towards Preparation for Feasibility Study	101
4.8	Effects of Abuses of Feasibility Study	101
4.8.1	Cost-related Effects	102
4.8.2	Time-related Effects	103
4.8.3	Disputes	104
4.8.4	Client-related Effects	105
4.8.5	Quality-related Effects	106
4.8.6	Performance-related Effects	107
4.9	Correlations between Causes and Effects of Abuses of Feasibility Study	107
4.9.1	Planning and Estimation Factors and All Groups of Effects	110
4.9.2	Project External Issues and All Groups of Effects	115
4.9.3	Personnel Factors and All Groups of Effects	119

4.9.4	Developers' Attitude and All Groups of Effects	123
4.9.5	Fishbone Diagrams	128
4.9.5.1	Cost-related Effects	129
4.9.5.2	Time-related Effects	130
4.9.5.3	Disputes	131
4.9.5.4	Client-related Effects	132
4.9.5.5	Quality-related Effects	133
4.9.5.6	Performance-related Effects	134
4.9.5.7	Description of Fishbone Diagrams	135
4.10	Discussions and Summary of Findings	138
4.10.1	Discussions and Summary of Findings of RO1	139
4.10.2	Discussions and Summary of Findings of RO2	141
4.10.3	Discussions and Summary of Findings of RO3	143
4.10.4	Discussions and Summary of Findings of RO4	145

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	147
5.2	Limitations of Research	147
5.3	Conclusions	149
5.4	Recommendations for Future Research	157

REFERENCES	159
-------------------	------------

APPENDICES	170
A Gantt chart for FYP 1	170
B Gantt chart for FYP 2	171
C Questionnaire	172

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
2.1	Comparison between the evaluation methods in terms of financial	14
3.1	Methods of survey validation	72
4.1	Demographic analysis of respondents	76
4.2	Respondents' mean value	77
4.3	Gender of respondents	77
4.4	Current position of respondents	79
4.5	Qualification of respondents	80
4.6	Years of experience of respondents in construction	82
4.7	Types of project implemented by respondents	83
4.8	Person who is most frequently involved in preparation of feasibility study	85
4.9	Reliability of types of abuses occurred in feasibility study	87
4.10	Reliability of causes of abuses of feasibility study	88
4.11	Reliability of effects of abuses of feasibility study	89
4.12	Normality test of types of abuses occurred in feasibility study	90
4.13	Normality test of causes of abuses of feasibility study	91
4.14	Normality test of effects of abuses of feasibility study	92
4.15	Misleading use of feasibility study	93
4.16	Misunderstanding of study phases	95
4.17	Failure to undertake feasibility study that is fit for purpose	96
4.18	Means of planning and estimation factors	98
4.19	Means of project external issues	99
4.20	Means of personnel factors	100
4.21	Means of developers' attitude towards preparation of feasibility study	101

4.22	Means of cost-related effects	102
4.23	Means of time-related effects	103
4.24	Means of disputes	104
4.25	Means of client-related effects	105
4.26	Means of quality-related effects	106
4.27	Means of performance-related effects	107
4.28	Pearson correlation coefficient	108
4.29	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and cost-related effects	110
4.30	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and time-related effects	111
4.31	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and disputes	112
4.32	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and client-related effects	113
4.33	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and quality-related effects	114
4.34	Correlation between planning and estimation factors and performance-related effects	115
4.35	Correlation between project external issues and cost-related effects	116
4.36	Correlation between project external issues and time-related effects	116
4.37	Correlation between project external issues and disputes	116
4.38	Correlation between project external issues and client-related effects	118
4.39	Correlation between project external issues and quality-related effects	118
4.40	Correlation between project external issues and performance-related effects	119
4.41	Correlation between personnel factors and cost-related effects	120
4.42	Correlation between personnel factors and time-related effects	120

4.43	Correlation between personnel factors and disputes	121
4.44	Correlation between personnel factors and client-related effects	122
4.45	Correlation between personnel factors and quality-related effects	122
4.46	Correlation between personnel factors and performance-related effects	123
4.47	Correlations between developers' attitude and cost-related effects	124
4.48	Correlations between developers' attitude and time-related effects	125
4.49	Correlations between developers' attitude and disputes	125
4.50	Correlations between developers' attitude and client-related effects	126
4.51	Correlations between developers' attitude and quality-related effects	127
4.52	Correlations between developers' attitude and performance-related effects	127
4.53	Summary of mean and ranking of causes of abuses of feasibility study	139
4.54	Summary of mean and ranking of effects of abuses of feasibility study	141
4.55	Summary of mean and ranking of types of abuses occurred in feasibility study	143
4.56	Overview of correlations between causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry	145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.1	Multistage feasibility study	22
2.2	Concept and issues of sustainability construction	34
2.3	Ability to influence construction cost over time	40
2.4	Correlation framework of causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry	59
3.1	Research design	65
4.1	Gender of respondents	78
4.2	Current position of respondents	79
4.3	Qualification of respondents	81
4.4	Years of experience of respondents in construction	82
4.5	Types of project implemented by respondents	84
4.6	Person who is most frequently involved in preparation of feasibility study	85
4.7	Misleading use of feasibility study	94
4.8	Misunderstanding of study phases	95
4.9	Failure to undertake feasibility study that is fit for purpose	97
4.10	Many-to-many relationship between causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study	109
4.11	Fishbone diagram for cost-related effects	129
4.12	Fishbone diagram for time-related effects	130
4.13	Fishbone diagram for disputes	131
4.14	Fishbone diagram for client-related effects	132
4.15	Fishbone diagram for quality-related effects	133
4.16	Fishbone diagram for performance-related effects	134

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BCR	Benefit-Cost Ratio
EOT	Extension of Time
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
NGOs	Non-Government Groups
NPV	Net Present Value
PP	Payback Period
REHDA	Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association
SPSS	Statistical Product and Service Solutions

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter is mainly to intro and describes the overall research. Firstly, problem background typically draws a brief picture of feasibility studies for the construction projects. On the other hands, the problem statement is mainly to detail out the reason of carrying out this research. Next, research objectives and research questions are also included in this chapter. These two elements are purposely to list out the objective of this research, and both of these two elements are closely related to each other. The next component that makes up this chapter is scope of the study, which is to determine the respondent involved and location of the research being carried out. Besides that, it also needs to describe why this research is important, which is written in the part of significance of the study. Last but not least, the operational definition and expected results are also included in this chapter.

1.2 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

In the construction industry, feasibility study becomes an essential element in which it needs to be conducted before each project to be carried out (Huh et al., 2012). Feasibility studies involve identifying and analyzing the strength and the weaknesses of the project, and at the same time, also determining the opportunity and threats outside the company within the construction industry. According to Hyari, K. and Kandil, A. (2009), feasibility studies are conducted mainly to determine and decide whether a project is profitable and realistically be achieved. Feasibility studies are normally evaluating the mutual benefits that can be earned and gained from the project (Abou-Zeid et al., 2007).

Before starting to work on a project, project manager needs to consider many things in order to achieve higher chances of success. Managers often face difficulties in making decision among various construction projects (Abou-Zeid et al., 2007). Thus, feasibility study is essential to ensure project to be delivered in the right time and correct condition.

Feasibility studies play important roles in conducting construction projects. The main reasons of carrying out feasibility study are to satisfy the customers' requirements and to ensure project success. This in turn will increase the productivity and profitability of the organization. Feasibility studies provide a detailed report on the every aspect of the project. Therefore, project management team can manage and handle the project in a more systematic way. Furthermore, feasibility studies also supply some possible solutions or suggestions in which the organization can provide for the customers.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In general, feasibility study can be categorized as economical and accounting science in which it is a procedure which includes computing the profit and costs for every project, so that, with the calculations made, managers can make wise investment decisions (Abou-Zeid et al., 2007). With the presence of feasibility studies, the company will not spend any unnecessary money on the unprofitable projects. Good feasibility studies are one of the key elements towards project success (Mackenzie, W. and Cusworth, N., 2007).

Firstly, the topic of abuses of feasibility studies is less discussed before. However, in reality, there are abuses of feasibility studies occurred in some companies. According to Mackenzie, W. and Cusworth, N. (2007), the issues of abuses of feasibility studies are usually resulted from the misunderstanding of study phases and also having wrong concept of the objective of the feasibility studies. Abuses of feasibility studies contribute to some effects and negative results of project outcome.

Therefore, there is a need to carry out this research to investigate causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. There are many researchers had found out there are some problems occurring in conducting feasibility study (Mackenzie, W. and Cusworth, N., 2007; Hendrickson and Au, 1998; Thuy, L. M., 2011; Cushman et al., 2001), in which these problems will contribute to abuses of feasibility study. However, there is less research which particularly aims to identify the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. Hence, there is a strong desire to carry out a research on causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study as well as the types of abuses occurred in feasibility study in Malaysian construction industry. In addition, this research also purposely to investigate the relationship between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. With the findings of this research, the developers

and project team will be more aware of the issues of abuses of feasibility study, in which will reduce the probability of project success (Otim et al., 2011).

1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To identify the causes of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry.
2. To determine the effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry.
3. To highlight the types of abuses occurred in feasibility study in construction industry.
4. To examine the relationship between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry.

1.5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What are the causes of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry?
2. What are the effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry?
3. What are the types of abuses occurred in feasibility study in construction industry?
4. What type of relationship between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study in construction industry?

1.6 SCOPE OF STUDY

This study focuses on the real estate and housing developers who have conducted feasibility studies in all kinds of construction project. The following are some details about the scope of the study.

1.6.1 Respondents of the Research

The chosen respondents are the developers who are the REHDA (Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association) members in Johor, Malaysia. This study involves the participation of 109 developers of all kinds of construction projects in Johor, Malaysia.

1.6.2 Location of the Research

This research will particularly focusing on Johor, Malaysia is mainly due to it is one of the more developed states in Malaysia. There is wide variety of construction projects carried out in Johor. In addition, there are high level of customers demand and needs in the construction industry. Moreover, Johor has high potential of development in the construction industry with the support from government.

1.6.3 Instrument Used in the Research

The instrument used to collect the data is questionnaire, which will be distributed by posting to each company. The questionnaire will be demonstrated in the form of closed-ended questions and likert-scale questions.

1.7 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY

While at present there is no solid evidence which shows that there are abuses of feasibility study in construction industry. Furthermore, the findings of this study are

important to help to determine the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study, as well as the types of abuses occurred in feasibility study. Other than that, this study is also important to investigate whether there is positive correlation between the causes and effects of abuses of feasibility study. With the findings of this study, the developers will more aware of the issues of abuses of feasibility study. Moreover, this study can also be a reference for people in the future.

1.8 OPERATIONAL DEFINITION

$$1. \quad NPV = C_0 + \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t}$$

Where

C_0 = Initial investment/cash outflow of today

C = Cash inflows in the period of t

t = time period of the investment

r = “opportunity cost of capital”/ required rate of return

$$2. \quad \text{Payback Period} = \frac{\text{Project costs}}{\text{Annual cash flows}}$$

$$3. \quad IRR = \sum_{t=0}^T \frac{CF(t)}{(1+d)^t} = 0$$

Where d = IRR is the internal rate of return corresponding to cash flow $CF(t)$.

$$4. \quad \text{Benefit} - \text{cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Cash flow}}{\text{Project investment}}$$

5. *Construction time* =

Practical Completion Date – Project Commencement Date

$$6. \text{ Speed of Construction} = \frac{\text{Gross Floor Area (m}^2\text{)}}{\text{Construction Time (days/weeks)}}$$

$$7. \text{ Time Variation} = \frac{\text{Construction Time} - \text{Revised Contract Period}}{\text{Revised Contract Period}} \times 100\%$$

Where Revised Contract Period = Original Contract Period + EOT

$$8. s = X^2 NP (1 - P) \div d^2 (N - 1) + X^2 P (1 - P)$$

Where

s = required sample size

X^2 = the table value of chi-square for 1 degree of freedom at the desired confidence level (3.841)

N = the population size

P = the population proportion (assumed to be 0.50 since this would provide the maximum sample size)

d = the degree of accuracy expressed as a proportion (0.05)

$$9. \text{ mean} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i$$

$$10. \text{ standard deviation, } s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$